ADAM SMITH
9TH DISTRICT, WASHINGTON
116 CANNON BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-8901

DISTRICT OFFICE:
9500 PORT OF TACOMA ROAD E.. SUITE 305
TACOMA, WA 98424
(253) 926-6683
TOLL FREE 1-888-SMITHO9

e-mail: adam.smith@mail.house.gov

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

Washington, **BC** 20515–4709

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

SUBCOMMITTEES; MILITARY PROCUREMENT MILITARY READINESS

COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES

QUECOMMITTÉÉS; FORESTS AND FOREST HEALTH, RANKING MEMBÉR WATER AND POWER

OLDER AMERICANS CAUCUS INTERNET CAUCUS

April 15, 1999

Dr. Patricia S. Schwartz
Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition
(HFS 401)
Food and Drug Administration
200 C Street Southwest
Washington, DC 20204-0001

Re: Performance Standard for Vibrio Vulnificus; Request for Comments DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Food and Drug Administration
[Docket No. 98P-0504]

Dear Dr. Schwartz.

I am writing you because I would like to submit my comments in regards to the FDA's Request for Comments on performance standards for Vibrio vulnificus and Vibrio parahaemolyticus. While I will not comment directly on those specific areas called for in the Federal Register, I do want to comment on the process of establishing policies and regulations for shellfish and the need for further research in establishing scientifically sound environmental parameters for Vibrio vulnificus and Vibrio parahaemolyticus.

My primary concern pertains to the process of establishing policies and regulations for shellfish. Specifically, I am concerned that the petition submitted to you by the Center for Science in the Public Interest has circumvented the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC) process by which policies and regulations for assuring shellfish safety are normally developed. In speaking with representatives of the West Coast shellfish industry, I know that they are concerned with protecting public health and have experienced some frustration with the ISSC process in the past in regards to the Gulf Coast's historical problems with Vibrio vulnificus. However, I still believe the ISSC process offers the most democratic solution to the many complex issues related to shellfish safety. I therefore urge you to carefully consider any comments that may come in, and to share these comments with the ISSC so that the deliberation process of the ISSC is not circumvented.

I also believe that further research is needed to establish scientifically sound environmental parameters for Vibrio vulnificus and Vibrio parahaemolyticus. On the West Coast, where Vibrio parahaemolyticus has been a problem, particularly for the past two uncommonly warm summers, the shellfish industry worked with their regulators to develop a control plan. That plan, put into place this past summer, appears to have been highly effective in reducing illnesses caused by these

988-0504

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

C/99

bacteria. Since the plan also calls for data collection, while seemingly reducing illnesses simultaneously, it would appear a logical course of action to continue using the plan through the next two summers to better assess the situation.

Thank you for acknowledging my comments as you consider the most beneficial way to proceed in regards to Vibrio vulnificus and Vibrio parahaemolyticus.

Mam Smith

Member of Congress

AS/mrc